

1 Munger Tolles & Olson, LLP
Cary B. Lerman
2 California Bar No. 54937
(admitted pro hac vice)
3 *cary.lerman@mto.com*
350 South Grand Avenue, 50 Floor
4 Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 683-9163

5 Munger Tolles & Olson, LLP
6 Jacob Max Rosen
California Bar No. 310789
7 *max.rosen@mto.com*
(admitted pro hac vice)
8 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor
San Francisco, California 94105
9 Telephone: (415) 512-4094

10 McCormick, Barstow, Sheppard,
Wayte & Carruth LLP
11 Wade M. Hansard
Nevada Bar No. 8104
12 *wade.hansard@mccormickbarstow.com*
Jonathan W. Carlson
13 Nevada Bar No. 10536
jonathan.carlson@mccormickbarstow.com
14 Tayler D. Martinez
Nevada Bar No. 14921
15 *tayler.martinez@mccormickbarstow.com*
8337 West Sunset Road, Suite 350
16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113
Telephone: (702) 949-1100
17 Facsimile: (702) 949-1101

18 Attorneys for GEICO CASUALTY COMPANY

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

20 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

21 KELSIE ARLITZ, individually; GARY
ARLITZ, as general guardian of ward KELSIE
22 ARLITZ; KATIE ARLITZ, as general
guardian of ward KELSIE ARLITZ,

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

25 GEICO CASUALTY COMPANY; DOES 1
26 through 100 and ROE CORPORATIONS 1
through 100, inclusive,

27 Defendants.
28

Case No. 2:19-cv-00743-RFB-DJA

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND THE BRIEFING SCHEDULE
FOR REPLIES TO RESPONSIVE
BRIEFING TO PLAINTIFFS AND
DEFENDANT'S RESPECTIVE MOTIONS
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (THIRD
REQUEST)**

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiffs KELSY
 2 ARLITZ, individually; GARY ARLITZ, as general guardian of ward KELSY ARLITZ, and KARIE
 3 ARLITZ, as general guardian of ward KELSY ARLITZ, through their counsel of record, PRINCE
 4 LAW GROUP, and Defendant GEICO CASUALTY COMPANY, through its counsel of record,
 5 McCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP, that the deadline for
 6 Plaintiffs to file their Reply to Response to Defendant GEICO Casualty Company's Motion for
 7 Summary Judgment (ECF No. 111) and for Defendant to file its Reply to Response to Plaintiffs'
 8 Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 116) shall be extended from Wednesday, June 16, 2021
 9 to Wednesday, June 23, 2021. The parties' respective Motions were filed on April 14, 2021.

10 This is the third request for extension of time to file the replies to responsive briefing to the
 11 parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment. This Court approved an extension of time of
 12 one week for the parties to file replies to the responsive briefing to the parties' respective Motions
 13 for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 135). Given the substantive and complex nature of the issues and
 14 arguments involved in this matter, the parties respectfully request an additional one week extension
 15 to properly and fully reply to the responsive briefs. The parties note that they appreciate the Court's
 16 accommodations thus far.

17 Accordingly, the parties respectfully request this Court to approve the foregoing stipulation.
 18 Their requested extension of time is not made in bad faith or to unnecessarily delay these
 19 proceedings.

20 Dated: June 8, 2021

PRINCE LAW GROUP

22 By: /s/ Kevin T. Strong

Dennis M. Prince
 Nevada Bar No. 5092
 Kevin T. Strong
 Nevada Bar No. 12107
 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

Arlitz, et al. v. GEICO Cas. Co.
Case No. 2:19-cv-00743-RFB-DJA

Dated: June 8, 2021

McCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD,
WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP


By: /s/ Jonathan W. Carlson

Wade M. Hansard
Nevada Bar No. 8104
Jonathan W. Carlson
Nevada Bar No. 10536
Tayler D. Martinez
Nevada Bar No. 14921
Attorneys for Defendant

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 9, 2021


RICHARD E. BOULWARE, II
United States District Court

7682326.1